TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

In the Matter of:		
David L. Titus	EB Docket No. 07-13	
	10 - 0M	MECEIVED
DATE OF HEARING:JULY 16, 2008_	VOLUME:	8
PLACE OF HEARING:WASHINGTON	, D.C PAGES:_	771-1134

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

IN THE MATTER OF: :

DAVID L. TITUS

: EB Docket No. 07-13

Application to Renew

License for Amateur : FRN No. 0002074797 Radio Service Station

KB7ILD

Wednesday, July 16, 2008

:

VOLUME VIII

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m. BEFORE:

> THE HONORABLE RICHARD L. SIPPEL Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Enforcement Bureau:

WILLIAM H. KNOWLES-KELLETT, ESQ.
JUDITH LANCASTER, ESQ.
Investigations and Hearings Division
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1270 Fairfield Road
Gettysburg, PA 17325
(717) 338-2505

On Behalf of David L. Titus:

GEORGE L. LYON, JR., ESQ.
Of: Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sach, Chartered 1650 Tysons Blvd., Suite 1500 McLean, VA 22012 (703) 584-8696

NEAL R. GROSS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WITNES	SS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIREC'	r recr	<u>.0SS</u>
Robert	Shilling		791	912		944
Donala	Dire on pa as Allmon Lee Titus	953	983 1065			046 110
Exhib:	it Nos.	<u>D</u>	ocument	<u>.</u>]	<u>Mark</u> <u>F</u>	Recd
Titus 14.	Order from Restoring Titus' Ri	David	n Cour Lee	t	783	785
15.	Court Min David Lee	utes fo Titus	r		774	
16.	December Sentencin Notificat	a in Wa	shingt	on State	∋:	906
17.	January 2 Offender in Washir Notificat and Recid	Sentend ngton St tion Lev	cing cate:		899	906
18.	E-mail fi Shilling	rom Robe to Tin	ert a Kelle	er	1054	1054
Agen	<u>с</u> У					
4.	Sex Offe David Le	nder Fi e Titus	le of			790
8.	Letter f to Senat	rom Dav or Mari	rid Con a Cant	don well		788
14.	Washingt Offender Classifi regardir	Risk I Cation	Level	litus	939	940

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	9:34 a.m.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're on the
4	record. We're ready to proceed. It's almost
5	9:30. Good morning, Mr. Titus. You're with
6	us, right? You can hear me all right?
7	MR. TITUS: Yes, good morning.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Yes, sir?
9	MR. LYON: As a preliminary
10	matter, your Honor, I'd like to have marked as
11	Exhibit 15 a document that has previously been
12	supplied to the reporter and to counsel and to
13	you. It is the minute notes from the clerk on
14	Mr. Titus' parole or probation violations
15	hearing, and it looks like it's dated, the
16	hearing was dated October 25th, 1996. And I
17	move Exhibit 15 into evidence.
18	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
19	document was marked as Titus
20	Exhibit No. 15 for
21	identification.)
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Has a copy been

1	provided to Bureau counsel?
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, it has,
3	your Honor.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: May I see a copy,
5	please?
6	MR. LYON: Did I not give you a
7	copy, your Honor?
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let me double
9	check. Maybe you did. Yes, you did. I take
10	that back. Yes, this is a one-page document,
11	Superior Court of Washington for Benton
12	County? Titus Exhibit 15 for identification.
13	Is there any objection?
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
15	there's nothing on this document, counsel can
16	tell us, there's nothing that ties it to the
17	parole notification other than it's David
18	Titus and it talks about his parole officer.
19	We don't know that this has anything to do
20	with the parole notice of violation in
21	evidence, so we're not sure what probative

value this is.

1	MR. LYON: Your Honor, could I
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, I'm going to
3	ask you to respond certainly, but refresh my
4	recollection: what does this 15 relate to?
5	This is the completion of another
6	MR. LYON: Yes.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: isn't that
8	correct?
9	MR. LYON: In the last pages of
10	Exhibit 41, and I'll give you the
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: That would be your
12	Exhibit 41, is that right?
13	. MR. LYON: I'm sorry. Bureau
14	Exhibit 4, pages 42 through 45, is a notice of
15	violation or at least a report concerning a
16	notice of violation where it indicates that a
17	non-compliance hearing was to be scheduled.
18	It's dated August 8th, 1996.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And
20	you're proffering this as a continuation of
21	that exhibit?

MR. LYON: Yes, as a resolution of

1	that violation hearing where the court
2	dismissed the State's motion.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Where is it
4	reflected it's been dismissed?
5	MR. LYON: Right at the bottom,
6	your Honor, where it says, "J denies State's
7	motion."
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: J denies State's
9	motion.
10	MR. LYON: I'm told that there is
11	no separate order that dismisses the
12	proceeding. It was done orally, and these are
13	the clerk's notes. This is a document I will
14	represent that Mr. Titus obtained from the
15	clerk of the Benton County Circuit Court. If
16	there's any question as to its validity, then
17	Mr. Titus is prepared to testify as to that
18	when he testifies later on this morning.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me just a
20	little voir dire. Do you have a copy of this
21	document in front of you, Mr. Titus?
	1

MR. TITUS: I believe I do.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let's get
2	MR. TITUS: Oh, no, I do not have
3	that document yet.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't have it?
5	But didn't you give it to counsel yesterday?
6	MR. TITUS: I have the Benton
7	County Court fax it directly to you guys
8	yesterday morning. That's why I asked for
9	that fax number.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm asking
11	you, the document itself is a one-page
12	document.
13	MR. TITUS: Right.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't have it -
15	
16	MR. TITUS: No, I don't have a
17	copy of that. That was faxed directly to you
18	guys, and I haven't received a copy yet.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, if you faxed,
20	in the normal course of events, the copy comes
21	to you. Whoever sends the fax gets the no?
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: He asked the

1	clerk to fax it from the clerk's office to us.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you hear that,
3	Mr. Titus?
4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: That's what
5	he just said.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, you did just
7	say that?
8	MR. TITUS: Right.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: You had nothing to
10	do with the fax? It was done in another, in
11	the court's facility, the clerk's facility; is
12	that correct?
13	MR. TITUS: Yes. I e-mailed the
14	clerk, and the clerk told me about the
15	minutes, and I asked the clerk in Benton
16	County if she could fax those to the fax
17	number that you guys provided yesterday.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. All right.
19	Well, then the answer is that we're going to
20	have to fax you a copy so that you can have it
21	in front of you so that we can ask some
22	questions about it.

1	MR. TITUS: Okay.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: So we'll just pass
3	over that for the time being. I'll leave it
4	pending as an identified exhibit. But is
5	there somebody can arrange for a fax to him?
6	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If you
7	would, Mr. Titus, ask for their fax number
8	and, at a break, we'll take care of faxing it
9	to you.
10	MR. TITUS: Okay.
11	MR. LYON: And, Bill, do you mind
12	faxing it to him? My copy is still cut off on
13	the bottom. You guys have the good copy.
14	MS. LANCASTER: Yes, we can fax it
15	to him.
16	MR. LYON: All right.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you want to use
18	my copy? Do you have a clean copy?
19	MS. LANCASTER: We have a copy,
20	your Honor. As a preliminary matter, Mr.
21	Titus, can you lower the view of the camera
22	just a little bit? We're seeing a lot of wall

1	above your head and less of you. Keep going.
2	MR. TITUS: Yes, I don't see any
3	of you guys.
4	MS. LANCASTER: Well, we can't
5	zoom out anymore, but the Judge is the only
6	important person here.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, no, the
8	reporter is the most important person here.
9	MR. TITUS: Well, I should be able
10	to see the witness testifying
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's very true.
12	You should be able to see the witness testify.
13	MS. LANCASTER: You can move it
14	back some, but the problem with that is I just
15	want to make sure the Judge can see the TV
16	when
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I can see it.
18	MR. TITUS: That's perfect.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's good?
20	MR. TITUS: Yes, that's good.
21	Thank you. I can see both of you.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, that's

1	fine. I mean, two is better than one. Now,
2	what about the Titus Exhibit 14? Did that get
3	moved in yesterday?
4	MS. LANCASTER: I was going to ask
5	that it be moved in today, your Honor, and
6	also ask that exhibit, you told us to wait
7	until this morning to do that.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. I didn't
9	want to do anything yesterday that was going
10	to tie up the witness.
11	MS. LANCASTER: Most of Exhibit
12	14, EB 14 has already been moved into
13	evidence, so just a few pages of it that you
14	had not moved, you had not accepted into
15	evidence, except for for all purposes.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Hold on just a
17	second. Titus 14.
18	MS. LANCASTER: Oh, you're I'm
19	sorry.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's a one-page
21	document on the Superior Court State of
22	Washington. This is the one that returns

Τ	CIVIL rights to Mr. Titus, with the exception
2	of gun bearing or gun having or gun whatever
3	possession.
4	MR. LYON: If I haven't moved it
5	into evidence, your Honor, I do move it into
6	evidence.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Very well. Well,
8	let's identify it. We may have done this, but
9	let's be sure we got this covered. What is
10	this document?
11	MR. LYON: I request that Titus
12	Exhibit 14 be marked into evidence. It's a
13	one-page document, an order from the Superior
14	Court of Benton County State of Washington
15	which restores Mr. Titus' civil rights. It's
16	called a Certificate and Order of Discharge.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, I have it.
18	MR. LYON: The document speaks for
19	itself, but, essentially, it restores his
20	civil rights, except for the right to possess
21	and bear fire arms.

(Whereupon, the above-referred to

1	document was marked as Titus
2	Exhibit No. 14 for
3	identification.)
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Very well. It's
5	dated June 12, 2002.
6	MS. LANCASTER: I don't have a
7	copy of that. Do you have another copy? It's
8	not in my notebook.
9	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I know you
10	e-mailed it but
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Would you like to
12	see my copy, and then we can move it in?
13	MR. LYON: I will make a copy.
14	Unfortunately, I left my home this morning
15	without my key to my office here, and so I'll
16	have to make a copy at the copy center in the
17	courtyard.
18	MS. LANCASTER: I don't have any
19	problem with it.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
21	MS. LANCASTER: Just give it to
22	me, George. I'll make a copy when I

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me rule on
2	this, please.
3	MR. LYON: I move
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute go
5	ahead. Do you want to
6	MR. LYON: I move Exhibit 14 into
7	evidence, your Honor.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exhibit 14, as
9	identified, the one-page document from the
10	Superior Court, Certificate and Order of
11	Discharge, is received in evidence at this
12	time.
13	(Whereupon, Titus Exhibit No. 14
14	was received into evidence.)
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, go off the
16	record for just a minute.
17	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
18	went off the record at 9:44 a.m. and went back
19	on the record at 9:46 a.m.)
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're back on the
21	record. Ms. Lancaster?
22	MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir. Your

Honor, based on the testimony of Mr. Schurman yesterday regarding EB Exhibit 8, which is the letter to Senator Cantwell --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

MS. LANCASTER: -- Mr. Schurman's testimony confirms and validates the comments in this letter. He was a part of drafting the letter. He participated in the drafting of the letter, and I would ask that this be moved into evidence for all purposes. It's already into evidence, I believe, but right now it's only for notice purposes, and I would ask that it be accepted for all purposes.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objection?

MR. LYON: Yes, your Honor. The operative paragraph, which is the last paragraph of the first page, is completely opinion. I don't think it's appropriate to be moved into evidence. Mr. Schurman testified yesterday extensively concerning his concerns with respect to Mr. Titus, and the record will reflect whatever it reflects. But I don't

1.5

think that this is the type of material that is appropriate in the record. I think it's prejudicial, and I think that it should not be relied upon for the proof of the matter as asserted.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it will be, certainly all of the, if any, deficiencies or weaknesses in the document will be considered in terms of connection with the weight to be given it, if any. But the witness was on the stand. He had the document in front of him, and everybody had a chance to question him and you had a chance to cross examine him. don't anything in see there that's outrageously prejudicial. You're correct, it does get into opinion areas that certainly are subject to objection and are questionable. But, again, I think it's more to the weight but not as to the receipt of the document.

So I'm going to overrule the objection. I'm going to receive it into evidence for all purposes, but, I mean, I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	just going to, in candor, I'm just going to
2	note for the record that it does have some
3	deficiencies to it as a reliable piece of
4	evidence for, as you say, for the truth of
5	what it seeks to assert in that ultimate
6	paragraph on the bottom of page one.
7	(Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.
8	8 was received into evidence.)
9	MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, also
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you satisfied
11	with that?
12	MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Good. Let's go.
14	What's next?
15	MS. LANCASTER: Also, as to EB
16	Exhibit 4, pages one and two, which I don't
17	believe were previously accepted into evidence
18	for all purposes, this was reviewed with Mr.
19	Titus yesterday, and he did not refute the
20	accuracy of the information in this document.
21	And I would ask that it be accepted into

evidence for all purposes. Right now, I

1	believe it's only accepted for notice
2	purposes.
3	MR. LYON: Your Honor, I continue
4	to object on that document on best evidence
5	grounds. The underlying adjudication and, in
6	one case, conviction are in the record. I
7	think that for reliance purposes, those should
8	be the documents that are in the record for
9	the truth of the matter as asserted, not a
10	compilation.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it does have
12	the weaknesses that you point out. You
13	continue to point those weaknesses out, but it
14	is in the form of a business document,
15	business exception, records exception. And
16	there was enough availability of testimony
17	through Mr. Schurman and Mr. Titus
18	MR. LYON: I'm sorry. Mr.
19	Schurman?
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Didn't he testify
21	to this?
22	MS. LANCASTER: No, Mr. Titus

1	testified regarding this document, your Honor.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. Mr.
3	Titus, that's correct. I thought maybe this
4	was something never mind. Anyway, I think
5	that there's been enough opportunity to
6	question the document. Questions have
7	certainly been raised with respect to it. I'm
8	disinclined to, obviously, to give much weight
9	or even attention to conclusions, as opposed
10	to facts, that are in a document such as this.
11	But as part of the case, for what it's worth,
12	I'm going to let it in. So it's in. It's in
13	evidence for all purposes.
14	(Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.
15	4 was received into evidence.)
16	MS. LANCASTER: Thank you, your
17	Honor.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: But, please, if
19	there's better evidence in there, don't spend
20	a lot of time with this one, please. Okay.
21	Let's go. Is that it now?

MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: The Bureau is all
2	set? Okay. Then Mr. Lyon, you're up.
3	CROSS EXAMINATION (Cont'd)
4	BY MR. LYON:
5	Q All right. Detective Shilling, we
6	touched on this issue yesterday, but I'm not
7	sure that the record accurately reflects one
8	point. In discussing the new federal
9	guidelines which the State of Washington has
10	not adopted, I think you indicated that you
11	oppose their adoption by the State of
12	Washington; is that correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Okay. And would you state for the
15	record why you oppose the adoption of those
16	new guidelines?
17	A The major reason, because they go
18	retroactively back and capture people who have
19	not had to register under Washington state law
20	because our law went into effect February 28th
21	of 1990. So anyone on or after that date has
22	to register. The Adam Walsh Act will require

1	people to register who have been convicted of
2	sex crimes prior to 1990, I mean all the way
3	to the 40s, 50s, or 60s. Plus, it gives them
4	a tier level based on their crime of
5	conviction, not any type of risk assessment.
6	Q When you say crime of conviction,
7	could you explain that in more detail?
8	A For any crime, any sex crime that
9	they were convicted or adjudicated of they
10	would be assigned a tier level based on
11	whatever it was that the court found that they
12	were convicted of. Many times, there's a plea
13	agreement, so what they may be charged with
14	isn't necessarily what they're actually, you
15	know, convicted of. So it would be the crime
16	of conviction or adjudication.
17	Q Okay. So if I understand your
18	testimony correctly, I guess there's a list of
19	level-one crimes and a list of level-two
20	crimes and a list of level-three crimes?
21	A Yes.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm just going to

1	say somebody should probably put that into
2	evidence as a, which would, you know, come in
3	by way of official notice. But let's
4	continue. I just want to make a mental note
5	of that.
6	MR. LYON: If that is going to go
7	into evidence via official notice, your Honor,
8	I would like to have a copy of it before I
9	conclude my examination of Detective Shilling.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Can we do that?
11	All right. Go ahead. You may continue.
12	BY MR. LYON:
13	Q Detective Shilling, I think you
14	mentioned the Washington State, I have it here
15	as a WSPPI.
16	A Washington State Institute for
17	Public Policy.
18	Q That's it. And I think you
19	mentioned that they have done some reviews of
20	the sex offender laws of the state of
21	Washington?
li	

A They have.

1	Q And they've issued reports?
2	A Yes, they have.
3	Q And you've reviewed those reports?
4	A I reviewed many of them, yes.
5	Q Okay. Are there any that you
6	haven't reviewed?
7	A Oh, sure.
8	Q Do you know which ones?
9	A Most of the ones on sex offenders
10	I've reviewed. They have reports on all kinds
11	of different items of concern to the
12	legislature.
13	Q And I'm sorry. My question must
14	have been unclear. My question really was
15	directed to the sex offender reports of the
16	Washington Public Policy Institute. You've
17	reviewed those?
18	A I have. I've read them at least
19	once.
20	Q Okay. You currently classify Mr.
21	Titus as a level-three sex offender?
22	A Yes, sir.